



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/821,383 | 04/09/2004 | Christopher H. Porter | 203/505 MB-104 | 1604 |
| 27224 | 7590 | 09/21/2006 | | |
| ARTHUR FREILICH 9045 CORBIN AVE, #260 NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324-3343 | | | EXAMINER AHMED, AAMER S | |
| | | | ART UNIT 3763 | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/821,383

Applicant(s)

PORTER ET AL.

Examiner

Aamer S. Ahmed

Art Unit

3763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 13-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-9, 14-16, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vito (US 5,931,838).

Vito disclose a medical device and method comprising of configuring a medical device comprising a stud (10) configured to project percutaneously outward through a patient's skin layers, the stud defining an outer end and having a longitudinal peripheral surface (45) extending inwardly from the outer end, the peripheral surface having a longitudinal porous layer thereon for promoting soft tissue in-growth (col. 3 line 9); a shoulder surface (area between 45 and 35), oriented substantially perpendicular to the stud peripheral surface and located inwardly from the stud outer end; and wherein the shoulder surface has a lateral porous layer thereon oriented substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal porous layer for promoting soft tissue in-growth (col. 3 line 9); wherein at least one of the porous layers comprises a mass of sintered material

Art Unit: 3763

comprised of a biocompatible titanium or polymer mesh (col. 3 line 4); and means for promoting healing (col. 3 line 10) and wherein the device includes a cap (30).

Claims 1, 3-9, 15-16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Dahners (US 6,955,677).

Dahners discloses disclose a medical device and method comprising of configuring a medical device comprising a stud (10) configured to project percutaneously outward through a patient's skin layers, the stud defining an outer end and having a longitudinal peripheral surface (20) extending inwardly from the outer end, the peripheral surface having a longitudinal porous layer thereon for promoting soft tissue in-growth (col. 2 line 60); a shoulder surface (45), oriented substantially perpendicular to the stud peripheral surface and located inwardly from the stud outer end; and wherein the shoulder surface has a lateral porous layer thereon oriented substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal porous layer for promoting soft tissue ingrowth (col. 2 line 60); wherein at least one of the porous layers comprises a mass of sintered material (col. 4 line 5), from within a group comprised of a biocompatible titanium or polymer mesh (col. 4 line 50); and means for promoting healing (col. 3 line 45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Vito or Dahners et al in view of De Groot (EP 0367354).

Vito and Dahners et al each disclose the device as described above in reference to claim 1, but fail to disclose that the pore size of the porous layer is within the range of 20 to 200 microns with a porosity between 60 to 95% nor a transitional layer mounted on the stud between the outer and longitudinal layer.

De Groot et al discloses a similar device with a porous layers is characterized by a pore size within the range of 50 to 200 microns with a porosity of between 60 to 95% (col. 2 line 24) and including a transitional layer (10) mounted on the stud between the stud outer end and the longitudinal layer and wherein the porous layers are formed of biocompatible material (col. 2 line 25).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the device or Vito or Dahners by adding the pore size and transitional layer of the type taught by De Groot et al, in order to better promote healing.

Response to Arguments


Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 13-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



A. Ahmed

Application/Control Number: 10/821,383

Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Luccesi', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI
SUPERVISOR/EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700